

## UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

### United States v. Rodney Class

#### Criminal Case No. 13-253 (RWR) (Chief Judge, Richard W. Roberts)

This is the first segment in a time-line of Rod Class's description of his 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment Gun Case that occurred in Washington D.C from the time of his arrest on May 30, 2013 to the beginning of July, 2013. Rod has been wearing a GPS ankle device since Monday, June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2013. The summaries of all his AIB RadioTalkShoe Program where he describes how he was indicted three times, the first two being dismissed for lack of prosecution will be included in this series on a weekly basis.

As he He describes the positions the DC prosecution has taken and his rebuttals. The actual audio of his AIB Radio broadcast are the links provided. Links to a transcription of each episode will be listed when completed. Documentation of all of filings Rod submitted, filings rejected and documents from the prosecution will be listed in the DC Case Files page as we progress through the outline.

Rodney Dale: Class was arrested on Thursday, May 30, 2013. In the following AIB Radio episodes he describes what took place during his arrests, interrogations and pretrial hearings. The topics he talks about are designed to educate his listeners on what his filings are bringing out in his case. His listeners become researchers who evaluate his reasoning and provide their research on these issues as well..

These are Rod's first two AIB Radio TalkShoe Episodes after his arrest was recorded on Friday, June 7, 2013. [See Rod's full list of Episodes during his DC Case here.](#)

TS-754099 EPISODE735 - AIB RADIO KNOW THEIR JOB 08:47 6/7/2013

and

TS-753981 EPISODE734 - AIB RADIO D.C. ROAD TRIP 21:05 6/7/2013

(Episode 734 was recorded after Episode 735)

Full transcripts of these Episodes will be available when completed (link)

Rod starts out by saying that he knows everyone is interested in what happened over weekend. This is a summary of what he tell his listeners.

Rod was on route to assist in a case in Pennsylvania. The purpose of his stop in DC was to update his Private Attorney General certificate and add a certificate on the Constitutional Bounty Hunter position with House and Senate Judiciary Committee authentication. This would give him the ability to do law enforcement alongside his PAG ability to represent the People in a court case.

The parking area Rod normally parks in when he is in DC was changed to "Permit Parking Only". He was in a hurry to get paperwork signed and pulled into a parking spot not noticing it was marked "Permit Parking Only". On his way out he met up with DC Police who had surrounded his Jeep. They wanted to know if he had any firearms in his Jeep. Rod asked them for a search warrant. They told him if they had to get a warrant it would take a couple hours, until that time you are going to have to sit here. Also if they find anything in the vehicle it will go harder on Rod. Rod told the officers that he did not have a firearm in his Jeep but he did have a pistol and two rifles and went on to explain the definition of firearm. He also informed them he had a Concealed Carry Permit from the State of North Carolina and his guns were all registered. After the arrest He was told that Concealed Carry Permits, etc. from the states are not valid because DC is a territory, in other words it is a separate country from the states. It is not part of our country as you and I were led to believe. This was also explained to Rod by the law enforcement agents, the prosecutor and judge at the time of his arrest, his interrogation and his hearing. What helped Rod throughout his interrogation and hearing was his knowledge of the laws, statutes and codes.

Rod was released because the judge deemed he was not a threat, that he was there to carry out the business of securing additional documentation for his position of PAG and Constitutional Bounty Hunter. Rod had five stamped documents that prove this was the purpose of his business and his knowledge of their jobs, their procedures, their language and their rules.

Rod has taught via his Talkshoe Episodes that we must know their job, know their procedures, know their language and know their rules. What started out as an interrogation turned out to be a seminar by Rod to the government agents. Their questions were exactly what Rod wanted. His answers turned around and questioned them on the law where Rod explained they were not following their own rules using quotes from Congressional records. The interrogation lasted about three hours. He kept asking them to give him his computer so

he could show them the proof on what he was saying in the Congressional records. Rod says we must have more answers than they got questions. They asked him if he was one of those "sovereign citizens". Rod explained there are Supreme court cases saying the elected citizens are sovereign but in our Constitutions it says the people are sovereigns. There is a conflict here. If you, the police are using sovereign in a derogatory way you are calling your self the same, you must be the ones with a conflict of definition, just ask the Supreme Court and read the North Carolina Constitution. He gave them a different viewpoint to look at on what they designated as sovereign groups were actually doing. How government side was actually telling them lies to continue the corruption and crimes against the people. The information hopefully will create a ripple on their side of the fence to get them to look into the Federal Register and see what the Federal Reserve and the banks, the judicial system and the administration have been doing.

There were many agents from the various alphabet groups, FBI, US Marshals, Anti-terrorist group. They interrogated Rod in teams. One team asked questions and then another and still another. Rod took advantage to lecture them. He covered the banking fraud, CRIS account, CAFR account, the CUSIP account, the prison system making money by convicting everyone, the US bankruptcy, that Federal Reserve notes are not money but a mere promise to pay money. He told them about Congressman McFaddens speech on the floor of Congress in 1932, the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, the State of Emergency Public Law 148 stat 1, the Social Security Act 1935, about the corporate designation of your name at birth and the state get \$1.8 m dollars plus \$1m per fiscal year thereafter, and the Alien Registration Act of 1940.

Rod turned his interrogation into a seminar. He also told them about how Congress sent out of this County to the Federal Reserve \$60B in 1928, \$58B in 1929 and in 1930 \$15B was given to the Federal Reserve, a foreign corporation. What was this all about, it was to finance WWII, Congress knew it, they did it through the Fed and then declared bankruptcy in 1933. He gave them a lot of information showing it's not our side of the fence that has created the problem, it's the infiltration of government by people who are miss-informing the people and those in law enforcement to keep the corruption from being exposed. He told this to the very people that can do a racketeering investigation against the banks and all of the attorneys and judges. This information should also bring in INTEL for an international investigation because the CUSIP account is international

Three things that Rod says got him released:

1. He trusted in God
2. Prayers from the listeners.
3. The wisdom and knowledge given to him to be able to walk and talk their rules, regulations, and codes.

Rod was released because he could explain the law and how it was being corrupted. Rod was asked why do “you people” think you can create your own money and your own bonds. Rod named a few of the people who taught this. They recognized the individuals Rod named. Then he told them they were all lawyers. After the interrogation several of the agents came up and said, “Rod, we have never had anyone who knew the laws and code like you do” and that they were overwhelmed.

The original charge against Rod was a firearms charge under a City of Washington DC municipal ordinance.. Rod Class has a valid NC Concealed Carry Permit, all his weapons are licensed, he is 100% legitimate. He thought because he had all the required permits that it applied to DC. The police, Federal Marshalls, Public Defender and the Judge informed Rod in no uncertain terms was this valid in the City of the District of Columbia. It was explained to him that because the 10 mile square, the District of Columbia was a territory to itself, it is not part of the United States as you and I understand it. DC will charge police officers from the several states just passing through DC if found with a "firearm". They have charged military personnel who received dishonorable charges because this is a felony. The District of Columbia is not associated with the states whatsoever they told Rod. Their attitude on this is it is a country, a territory by itself. Rod asked, if this is true then why do they not have border guards at all locations of entry into DC like they do Canada or Mexico. With no border guards it's a logical assumption that they are part of the united States.

The charge was possession of “firearms” in the District of Columbia. Rod, knowing the actual law definition of “firearm” explained to them that he did not have a “firearm” with him. It is important that we know the law, the definition of each word is important. See how words are being used. Rod explained the term “firearm” to them as defined in the 1934 Firearms Act, Title 27 CFR 479.11 and Title 26 USC 5845, the valid law on this subject. Firearm is defined as 1) a sawed off shotgun with a barrel less than 18 inches and overall length less than 26 inches, 2) a sawed off rifle with a barrel less than 16 inches and overall length less than 26 inches, 3) a fully automatic machine gun and 4) a silencer. The docket charging Rod with a firearms charge was later change to the word “pistol” not firearm.

Rod was taken into court on Friday May 31<sup>st</sup>, in handcuffs and shackles. He was assigned an attorney. Normal operating procedures permits them to hold someone for 72 hours. The arraignment shocked Rod. Usually the defendant is given three options, guilty, not guilty or no contest. In Rod's arraignment the police officer was on the witness stand and the prosecutor asked him questions which is the proper procedure but no one was brought in to set forth the claim.

Rod's assigned defense attorney told the judge that Rod was the holder of and had documentation proving he was a Private Attorney General with Congressional approval. The judge's only response was to reprimand Rod and tell him he was not going to hold him but he was going to restrict him from returning to the District of Columbia except he could not bar him from seeing his legislators but would need notification if he intended to do so. A GPS ankle bracelet was placed on Rod to track his location.

During the time Rod spent in the DC jail, he was still teaching. He found the inmates were totally dependent on their attorneys. All they could do is sit there and watch their attorney railroad them into plea deals that left them sitting in jail. Rod began teaching the inmates like he does his AIB Radio listeners. He told them to learn to read, learn to research. That your knowledge is the only thing that can keep you from getting railroaded. Know their job description, know their rules.

Rod found out the Superior Court of the District of Columbia is Federal Jurisdiction. DC then should come under Federal guidelines. When Rod returned home he went right to work researching their laws and procedures to point out what they were doing wrong. His plan is to show their errors, their flaws, their misapplication of the law. He found in some areas of law DC is in equal status to a state. They recognize driver's licenses from the states so why not Concealed Carry?

Rod also threw some deterrents to his court appointed lawyer. He informed him of his limitations as a BAR member that he could not give Rod proper representation because he would be dis-BARed. He explained some items like Title 36 USC 705 sec. 70503 dealing with lawyer's disqualification for failure to uphold the Constitution, the Laws, which is an act of treason and an overthrow of the government. He wanted the lawyer to know what Rod was bringing in would be in conflict of what the judge would want him to do and not do

Rod says he has 100 days until his hearing. He is preparing for all they may throw at him.

Rod will be asking the court to follow their own rules because they expect us to follow their rules. They ask, Why is the sovereign side so violent? The answer is that we see their side not following their own rules. Why are the authorities belligerent when they come up against someone who knows the laws. It's because the police have been lied to about their own system the same as we have been lied to.

Rod talks about how Notaries need to be educated on their position as Officer of the Court. When we have questions on their law and procedures we should be able to send our requests through the Notary. After three submissions it would be on record of their default which would be admissible as evidence in Court. Notaries should be able to validate the terminology of terms in law.

Rod goes into more discussion on CAFR accounts. They are set up to pay our debt. We should be able to go into Probate Court and find out why our accounts are not being used as intended. Our electric bills, mortgages etc. are prepaid, why are they not honoring this? The Courts are set up as a Bank. When you walk into Court you should be given a 1099b. We should be asking the Judge, Why are we there? We are the living beneficiary, who are you?

Rod says we need to get the U.S. Marshalls, the FEDs, the alphabet people educated to back us up. Some of them know we have the evidence to shut down the whole Country. The problem is where do we take them to be prosecuted because they are the criminals. A caller says this is an internal problem. When they arrested you they have to be arresting you as a terrorist which is outside of their jurisdiction. How they do this is they are operating as a corporation and you are a franchisee of their corporation.

Rod said that during his interrogation he asked them, "Where is the injured party? Was he outside his Jeep waving and pointing his pistol? Was he shooting it?" NO, it was inside his Jeep in a locked container with items on top concealed from sight. They have to show Rod had knowledge he was violating their law. Rod knows we are to have equal protection under the Law. Rod had a permit, registration and everything required in North Carolina which he expected to be honored in DC. He admits he parked in a Permit Parking spot but that is as far as the infraction should have gone. Everything else was totally out of line and infringing on his Rights.

It really comes down to learning this stuff. To be able to back and support whatever you do.

You must be able to produce whatever you said or you have hung yourself. When they realize you are not spouting patriot stuff, this is not sovereign stuff, this is not militia, this is not constitutional stuff, this is not one of those wacked out people, this is someone citing their own regulations and rules it is a little hard for them to sit back and rebut it. Do they really want to bring someone before a jury and expose all this? Knowledge is power.

Rod's next hearing is September 10, 2013. Rod is preparing, studying and getting document ready. He is looking at their job descriptions, their rules and procedures. He is looking at their violations and associated statutes to be prepared.

END

In Rod's next episode he get deeper into the rules and regulations they are breaking.

TS-756547 EPISODE736 - AIB RADIO COURTS ARE OPERATING UNDER R.I.C.O 1h37m  
6/15/2013 The transcript of this Episode will be available when completed.

Violations occurring in the Courts and the Rules, Regulation, Laws, they must follow. Know their jobs.

Rod talks about Title 36 United State Code concerning the BAR Association, Chapter 705. This deals with the Federal BAR Association being a corporation. See Section 705.03 Disqualifications. This section deals with advocating the overthrow on the government. They cannot mislead or misrepresent. Where are the laws on this? Look up;

Title 18 USC 1001 – False Statement

Title 18 USC 1621 – Perjury

Title 18 USC 1346 – Definition of “scheme or artifice to defraud”

The Prosecution misleads the Arresting Officer and the Jury. The Judge only hears the Prosecutors side, while your Defense Attorney is barred from exposing what is going on. This is getting into RICO

The BAR Association is an Agency of government (corporation) so they really can't represent you in court to start with.

Rod talks about a US House, Ways and Means, Sub-Committee investigation report on the Internal Revenue from the 83<sup>rd</sup> Congress, 1<sup>st</sup> Session on Administration of the Internal Revenue Laws, 1953. It states that your income tax is 100% voluntary, your liquor tax is 100% enforceable tax. This is one example of what your Defense Attorney cannot bring up.

Rod lists the following for everyone to research.

The Walker/Todd Testimony.

The 1932 McFadden Speech to Congress on the Federal Reserve concerning Federal Reserve Notes are not money but a mere promise to pay. Your signature is the credit line.

Go back to the Libre Code General Order 100

State of Emergency

Statutes at Large Vol.38, Ch. 6, Sec.16 – describes a Federal Reserve Note

Statutes at Large Vol.48, pg.1 – State of Emergency

Thursday, March 9, 1933 pg. 83 McFadden

1983 - Credit River Decision – proved the Bank did not lend money only credit

Judge Mahoney,

Title 26 CFR 6331 Sec. A – who is taxable

Court Registry Investment System CRIS Account – all court cases are being invested on the stock market

Title 27 CFR Internal Revenue – Definition of words - Firearm

Title 26 USC 5845 – Definition of Firearms

Dick Act 1902 - Invalidates all gun laws and defines the Militias

Statutes at Large – Jan. 21, 1903 57<sup>th</sup> Cong. Session 2 pg. 775

These regulations cover the District of Columbia

Smith Act 1940 -

Supreme Court Decisions – gun laws are unconstitutional

Parker v District of Columbia 2007

District of Columbia v Heller 2008

McDonald v Chicago 2010

Judicial Rules of Canon

Title 4 Ch.3, Sec. 72 – Public Officer

Title 28, Part 6 Ch. 171 Sec. 2671

Title 26, Sub-Title.F, Ch.75, Sub-Ch. D, Sec. 7343 – Definition of the term Person

Title 50, Ch 3, Sec. 23 – Tradeing with the Enemy Act – Enemy of the Statement

Title 8, Sub-Chap 12, sub-ch 1101 -

US v Crink

US v Bvans

Title 18, Sec.1961 – Racketeering – Definition of a State

Title 28, 1343 – Definition of a State

Title 28, 1441 – Definition of a State

Title 26, Sub-Title. F, Sub-Ch. 64, Sub-Ch. D, Part 2 6331 – who owes tax

Santa Clara County v Southern Pacific Railroad – definition of a legal person

US v Doterwitty 1943 - definition of a legal person

New York Central Railroad v US 1909 - definition of a legal person

Social Security Act 1935 - \$1.8 million dollars at birth and \$1 million for every year after going to the state

1953 House Report #3123 National Layers Guild is a communist organization

Title 18, Ch. 31, Definition - Motor Vehicle

Title 49 USC Drivers License

All of this is in their books and is being ignored.

The next week Rod is a followup on last week's episode.

TS-759146 EPISODE737 - AIB RADIO LOOSE LIPS SINKS SHIP STOP AND THINK 01:29  
6/22/2013

The more you talk the more you run the risk of your plans being interfered with.

Go back to previous episodes, find the Statutes, Codes, etc. and read to understand their rules, regulations, their job description, definitions

Do your research to understand their language.

Why we do not need a commercial drivers license.

There is case law on most of what Rod is describing

What is the purpose of all these case decisions if you don't use them.

If you have to have an attorney make him your 6<sup>th</sup> Amendment Council

Title 26, Sec 7343 IRS Code – term person

Title 26, Sec. 6331 – Who is required to pay tax

TS-760173 EPISODE738 - AIB RADIO EHAT YOU STATE ON THE RECORD YOU MAY HAVE TO EAT 6/25/2013

Know what the law is. Has the law been repealed

There are conflicts in the law.

What's the purpose of have legislated law if they are not going to be followed.

What's the purpose of case law if the courts are not going to follow them.

TS-760398 EPISODE739 - AIB RADIO NO SUCH THING AS LEGAL ERROR BY A JUDGE IN COURT 6/26/2013

Rod talks about legal errors by a judge in a court.

There is no excuse for a judge or prosecutor mis-contruing the law when there case law that defined the law.

There is no excuse when you bring up that the Supreme Court has ruled on the Right to Travel, the Right to Carry, who taxation applies to, etc. Judges who intentionally disregard these decisions is not legal error, it is intentional willful violation of professional ethics and conduct under the Canons of Judicial Conduct.

It is also a violation of the Taft-Hartley Act and the Smith Act. This is a felony, an act of treason.

Title 18, Chapter 115 Sec. 2382 Misprison of Treason

When a prosecutor brings up false information and you bring it up to the judge and the judge doesn't stop the court that is Misprison of Treason. He overthrew the government. It is a

violation of Title 36, Ch. 705, Sec.705.03.

Title 18, Chapter 115 Sec. 2381 - Treason

Title 18, Chapter 115 Sec. 2383 - Rebellion and Insurrection

Title 18, Chapter 115 Sec. 2384 -

Title 18, Chapter 115 Sec. 2385 – Advocating the Overthrow of Government

There is no such thing as legal errors in a courtroom when you bring in prior case law that supports your position. The moment the law was put before the judge and the judge knowingly, willingly, intentionally, with full intent to disregard that law, that is no longer an error that is willful intent to overthrow. You can't hold the People to one standard, to follow the law, when the judges and prosecutors and trained in the law and then disregard it and claim ignorance of the law. To do so just to get their money out of you is embezzling, extortion, conspiracy, coercion, RICO, racketeering. Legal errors is misconduct when the defendant brings in the law. This is Title 42 Civil Rights violations.

The money machine is kept going because the Defense Attorney is not allow to us the law to defend you. Ignoring the law so appeals are done is racketeering. It's done to keep the money

They also misrepresent the law to law enforcement officers.

The issues brought up in these last episodes will be presented as our series of articles are published. Sign up for emails to keep up as the evidence in this case is laid out.